# Contents

Questions resulting from review of Action Plans	2
Care Quality Commission	2
Leicester City Clinal Commissioning Group (CCG)	2
Recommendation 1.1 - Amber	2
Recommendation 1.2 - Amber	2
Recommendation 5.3 - Green	2
Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (LPT)	2
Recommendations 1.1,1.2,2.1, 3.1 – Green, Not Applicable	2
Recommendation 3.4 - Green	3
Recommendation 6.1 –Green	3
Recommendation 7.1 –Green	3
Recommendation 7.2 –Amber	3
Recommendation 7.3 –Green	3
Recommendation 7.4 –Green	3
Recommendation 7.5 – Green	3
Recommendation 7.6 – Amber	3
Recommendation 7.12 – Green	3
Midlands Partnership NHS Foundation Trust (MPT)	3
Recommendations 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5 - Green	3,4
University Hospitals Leicester (UHL)	4
Recommendation 1.1 – Green (4)	4
Recommendation 1.5 – Green (5)	4
Turning Point	4

# **Questions resulting from review of Action Plans**

The following questions were compiled as a result of joint pre-meetings between the Rutland County Council Adults and Young People scrutiny committees, following the receipt of the Action Plans received on the 17<sup>th</sup> November 2020.

## **Care Quality Commission**

The Action Plans received so far do not consistently indicate the progress required to meet the recommendations required by the CQC review. Additionally, the Action Plans do not clearly indicate how the improvements are to be monitored on an ongoing basis.

How is the CQC evaluating and monitoring the Action Plans submitted to them, for example have joint commissioning arrangements been improved to ensure better outcomes for young people?

Additionally, it would be useful to have some reassurance regarding safeguarding protocols and the CP-IS system during presentation at Emergency Departments or at GP's.

# **Leicester City Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)**

How is the CCG evaluating the Action Plans submitted as a result of this Inspection and monitoring the improvement the action plans should be achieving?

# Recommendation 1.1 - Amber

What is the current status of the Safeguarding team recruitment process?

### Recommendation 1.2 - Amber

The Looked After Children Service Specification (October 2019) identified the requirement for a CCG review of the Designated and Named Doctor capacity for LAC What is the current status of this review?

### Recommendation 5.3 - Green

Provide an update on the safeguarding training regarding compliance and the April 2021 deadline.

### **Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (LPT)**

### Recommendations 1.1,1.2, 2.1, 3.1 – Green, Not Applicable

Many of actions in the LPT Action Plan pass responsibility away from the LPT for example "this action is for the CCG" or "we will provide the current performance data".

What actually is the LPT doing to ensure that none of the services it provides will be criticised in any future Inspection of health services to LAC or safeguarding?

### Recommendation 3.4 – Green

Please provide an update from CAMHS requesting current data as Action plan indicated no update since 20/12/19

#### Recommendation 6.1 –Green

Please provide the results of the review of the notification system due in April 30<sup>th</sup> 2020?

What's the outcome of the review of A&E attendance notifications across Healthy Together and LAC?

### Recommendation 7.1 – Green

What safeguards are in place to ensure that out-of-area providers are informing LPT of attendance?

Has this recommendation been followed up at a National Level? Who do LPT think are responsible for effective use of the CP-IS system?

### Recommendation 7.2 – Amber

Please provide an update on the progress of neuro-development (ND) project which is ongoing and is a large transformational piece of work.

### Recommendation 7.3 - Green

Please provide an update on the work that is progressing to standardise the use of safeguarding chronologies across all LPT services.

### Recommendation 7.4 - Green

When was the last audit presented to the legislative committee, and what was the overall result of that audit?

### Recommendation 7.5 – Green

Please provide an update of the review of the Governance system, audit arrangements and oversight of child protection reports.

Please provide a progress update of the Signs of Safety training.

### Recommendation 7.6 – Amber

Update on progress of including adult mental health practitioners in multi-agency meetings.

### Recommendation 7.12 – Green

Please provide an update on the progress of the roll-out of Level 3 safeguarding training.

# Midlands Partnership NHS Foundation Trust (MPT)

### Recommendations 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4, 9.5 - Green

Green appears to be the default RAG rating which in many cases is not supported by substantive evidence and indeed many recommendations have not been updated in the preceding 12 months. Last actions as follows:

**9.1** - 31.12.19

**9.2** - 30-11-19

**9.3** - 30-11-19

**9.4** - 31-12-19

**9.5** - 30-06-20

Has 'You're Welcome' Criteria been achieved for the clinic being run at RMH?

# **University Hospitals Leicester (UHL)**

How can the UHL grade the recommendations in its Action Plan as complete (green) without ongoing monitoring?

# Recommendation 1.1 – Green (4)

Action plan references possible changes post COVID but has not provided an update on decision.

### Recommendation 1.5 – Green (5)

References funding being secured for training but no statement that training has been delivered nor, more importantly, if the training has had the desired impact.

# **Turning Point**

Update required regarding availability of Safeguarding Training Level 3 and that Turning Point are still aligned with intercollegiate competencies